

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,670	05/24/2001	Tetsuo Nishimoto	393032025300	3831	
,	590 04/13/2004		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP			FLETCHER, MARLON T		
555 WEST FIF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90013-1024		2837		
	,		DATE MAILED: 04/13/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/i :				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/864,670	NISHIMOTO ET AL.	Ū				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marlon T Fletcher	2837					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this come ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05/2	24/2001						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	,—						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-11,13-28,30,31 and 33-36 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-10,13-28,30,31 and 33-36 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	re rejected. or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		=	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received.	tion No red in this National St	age				
Attach mant/al							
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan	√ (PT∩_413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D		52)				

Application/Control Number: 09/864,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 7-10,13-28, 30, 31, and 33-36, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toriumi (6,062,868) in view of Aoki.

Toriumi discloses an apparatus and method for transmission and reception of music data as well as image data, wherein the music data includes melody data as seen in figure 1. Music data and image data are transmitted to a server (33 and 32 respectively) wherein the server includes a receiver for receiving the data. The server imparts additional data into the music data as well as the video data as discussed in column 5, lines 1-40. The additional data is a parameter. New content data is created by the additional data, wherein the addition provides a conversion. Figures 1-3 provide views of the apparatus and operation. Although, it is inherent that there is bi-directional communication between the client and server, the reference does not use the word "client".

However, Aoki discloses a client terminal coupled to a server over a bi-directional communication network, the client terminal comprising: an input device for inputting melody (abstract) including content data; a transmitter (figure 4) operatively coupled with the input to transmit the melody information to the server (column 13, line 66

through column 14, line 5) and a receiver adapted to receive content information from the server imparting an additional value (including parameters) to the melody information transmitted to the transmitter (abstract; column 7, lines 5-44; and column 14, lines 1-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teachings Aoki with the apparatus of Toriumi, because the combination clearly provides transmission of melody data between a client and a server, wherein data is added to the transmission.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-28, 30, 31, and 33-36, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

It is believed that Toriumi reads on the claimed limitations. As claimed, Toriumi discloses each element and function, wherein a melody is transmitted to a server, the server adds additional data and transmit the created data. The applicant argues the feature of the client terminal. Although, it is inherent, the examiner has applied a second reference clearly providing the client terminal. The examiner agrees with the

Art Unit: 2837

applicant in regards to the claims regarding the left hand and right hand data transmissions. Those claim are objected, wherein if rewritten to incorporate the independent claim, those claims would be allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2063. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications.

mary Examiner

MTF April 4, 2004